UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VERTICAL AVIATION NO. 1 LLC,

Plaintiff.

-against-

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:\_\_\_\_
DATE FILED: 12/1/2020

1:20-cv-04970-MKV

POST-HEARING
SCHEDULING ORDER
AND
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

MARY KAY VYSKOCIL, United States District Judge:

On December 1, 2020, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment.

Counsel for Plaintiff appeared. Defendant did not appear. In accordance with the matters discussed on the record at the hearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that on or before December 21, 2020, Plaintiff shall file a letter brief addressing the following issues: (1) the propriety of service of process, including the history of the prior related action before Judge Keenan, *see Vertical Aviation No. 1 LLC v. Gov't of the Republic of Trin. & Tobago*, No. 18-cv-7754 (S.D.N.Y filed Aug. 24, 2018); (2) Defendant's waiver of sovereign immunity and consent to the jurisdiction of the Court; (3) whether Gary Griffith, Minister of National Security for Trinidad and Tobago, had actual and/or apparent authority to waive sovereign immunity. With respect to the third issue, the Court is particularly interested in which law—New York law or the law of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago—applies to determine whether Griffith had actual authority. Specifically, Plaintiff should address (1) the choice-of-law provision in the Lease; (2) recent decisions of the New York Court of Appeals concerning the effect contractual choice-of-law provisions have on common-law conflict-of-laws principles; and (3) the effect, if any, such recent decisions of the New York Court of Appeals have on the most-significant-relationship approach federal courts in this District have used to find that

Case 1:20-cv-04970-MKV Document 31 Filed 12/01/20 Page 2 of 2

the laws of a foreign state apply to determine whether an agent of a foreign state had actual

authority to waive sovereign immunity.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before January 11, 2021, Defendant shall file a

letter explaining (1) why it has failed to appear in this action and why Default Judgment should

not be entered in the amount of \$12,045,000, plus pre-judgment and post-judgment interest (see

Aff. Shawn J. Rabin ¶ 35–39 [ECF No. 18]) and (2) any opposition in response to Plaintiff's

Motion for Default Judgment and Plaintiff's letter brief. The Court specifically invites comment

on the issues outlined above, which are to be addressed in Plaintiff's letter brief.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall serve on Defendant (1) this Order, (2) the

Summons and Complaint [ECF Nos. 1, 10], (3) Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment and

supporting papers [ECF Nos. 17, 18, 18-1-18-17, 22, 23, 23-1-23-6, 24, 25] and (4) Plaintiff's

letter brief on or before **December 28, 2020**, and file proof of such service on or before **January** 

4, 2021.

The Court reserves decision on Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment until receipt of

Plaintiff's letter brief. If Defendant fails to appear and file the above-described letter and

opposition on or before January 11, 2021, and if upon review of Plaintiff's letter brief, considered

with the documents filed in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment, the Court

concludes that Griffith had authority to waive Defendant's sovereign immunity such that the Court

may exercise jurisdiction over Defendant, the Court will enter default judgment.

SO ORDERED.

Date: December 1, 2020

New York, NY

United States District Judge

2